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1. **Article title:**

Haga clic o pulse aquí para escribir texto.

1. **Comments to Editor:**

Please check the appropriate box or rank the answer on a scale of 1 to 3 where 1 is the highest rank and 3 is the lowest.

**B.1. SCIENTIFIC QUESTIONS**

1. Is this a new and original contribution? Yes [ ]  No [ ]

2. Is the topic suitable for the journal? Yes [ ]  No [ ]

3. Quantity of data presented: Too much [ ] Adequate [ ]  Too little [ ]

4. Quality of interpretation and conclusions 1 [ ]  2 [ ]  3 [ ]

5. Support of interpretations/conclusions by data presented 1 [ ]  2 [ ]  3 [ ]

6. Importance of this work 1 [ ]  2 [ ]  3 [ ]

**B.2. TECHNICAL QUESTIONS**

7. Is the abstract informative? Yes [ ]  No [ ]

8. Is the title adequate? Yes [ ]  No [ ]

9. Are the keywords appropriate? Yes [ ]  No [ ]

10. Is this paper properly organized? Yes [ ]  No [ ]

11. Is this paper difficult to read/understand? Yes [ ]  No [ ]

12. Is this paper written in good grammar and syntax? Yes [ ]  No [ ]

13. Are the illustrations/tables useful and necessary? Yes [ ]  No [ ]

14. Are the illustrations/tables of good quality? Yes [ ]  No [ ]

15. Are the references cited relevant and up to date? Yes [ ]  No [ ]

16. Is the length of the paper in keeping with its importance? Yes [ ]  No [ ]

17. Overall quality of the work 1 [ ]  2 [ ]  3 [ ]

**C.4. RECOMMENDATION:**

[ ]  Accept without revision

[ ]  Accept with minor revision (please indicate what form the revision should take)

[ ]  Accept with major revision (please indicate what form the revision should take)

[ ]  Reject